

Applicant: Gazda et al.
Application No.: 10/648,019

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-14 and 31-35 are currently pending in this application. The examiner rejected claims 1-14 and 31-35 under 35 U.S.C. §102. Claim 31 was also rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101.

The Applicants have amended claims 1, 10, 31, 32, and 34.

The amendments are fully supported in the specification and Applicants submit that no new matter has been added.

Applicant: Gazda et al.
Application No.: 10/648,019

35 U.S.C. §102 (e) – Wilkinson et al.

The Examiner rejected claims 1-14 and 31-35 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Wilkinson et al. (U.S. Publication 20020091800).

As to claims 1, and 31-33, the Examiner states that Wilkinson discloses a method and system for exporting a software model to different operating systems, the method comprising:

providing a software model; providing a porting layer, the porting layer porting the software model to an operating environment; providing an operating environment, the operating environment common to all the different operating systems; and providing a plurality of operating system abstraction layers, each abstraction layer designed to abstract the operating environment to at least one targeted operating system.

Amended independent claim 1 recites, *inter alia*, a method for exporting a specification and description language (SDL) software model to different operating systems. Wilkinson neither discloses nor suggests such a method.

Amended independent claim 31 recites a WTRU comprising, among other things, an operating environment that is the result of an SDL porting layer converting an SDL software model to the operating environment. Wilkinson neither discloses nor suggests such a device.

Amended independent claim 32 recites a method for abstracting an operating environment to a plurality of operating systems, the method comprising an operating environment that is the result of an SDL porting layer converting an SDL software model to the operating environment. Wilkinson neither discloses nor suggests such a method

Furthermore, amended claim 10 recites, *inter alia*, an operating system performing code generated from an SDL software model, the SDL software model being ported to an operating environment wherein the operating environment is the result of an SDL porting layer converting an SDL model to the operating environment. Wilkinson neither discloses nor suggests such an invention.

Amended claim 34 recites, among other things, an abstraction layer interfacing with the operating environment wherein the operating environment is the result of an SDL porting layer converting an SDL software model to the operating environment. Wilkinson neither discloses nor suggests such an invention.

Claims 2-9 depend, either directly or indirectly, from patentable amended claim 1 and are therefore patentable for at least the same reasons as patentable amended independent claims 1.

Claims 11-14 depend, either directly or indirectly, from patentable amended claim 10 and are therefore patentable for at least the same reasons as patentable amended independent claims 10.

Applicant: Gazda et al.
Application No.: 10/648,019

Claim 33 depends, either directly or indirectly, from patentable amended claim 32 and is therefore patentable for at least the same reasons as patentable amended independent claim 32.

Claim 35 depends, either directly or indirectly, from patentable amended claim 34 and is therefore patentable for at least the same reasons as patentable amended independent claim 34.

Accordingly, Applicants' claims 1-14, and 31-35 are patentable over the Wilkinson reference.

Applicant: Gazda et al.
Application No.: 10/648,019

Conclusion

If the Examiner believes that any additional minor formal matters need to be addressed in order to place this application in condition for allowance, or that a telephone interview will help to materially advance the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone at the Examiner's convenience.

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application, including claims 1-14 and claims 31-35, is in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Reconsideration and entry of this amendment is respectfully requested

Respectfully submitted,

Gazda et al.

By Thomas A. Mattioli
Thomas A. Mattioli
Registration No. 56,773
(215) 568-6400

Volpe and Koenig, P.C.
United Plaza, Suite 1600
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

TAM/RIS/yil